

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-17729-2020

Date of decision: 15.03.2021

Mukhtar Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Suveer Sheokhand, Additional AG, Punjab
for respondents No.1, 4 & 5.

Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate
for respondents No.6 & 7.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioner-Mukhtar Singh, stated to be aged 37 years approximately, has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 5 to take appropriate legal action against respondents No.6 & 7 who forcibly recovered the Truck/vehicle of the petitioner with the help of recovery agents as this conduct of respondents No.6 & 7 is against RBI Circular dated 27.03.2020 (Annexure P-7), RBI Circular dated 23.05.2020 (Annexure P-8), (wherein the RBI granted six months loan moratorium) and against notification

dated 28.09.2006 (Annexure P-6), issued by respondent No.2.

Records of the case show that vide order dated 27.10.2020, notice of motion was issued.

Today, on the resumed hearing of the case, learned counsel for respondents No.6 & 7 has brought to the notice of this Court that no further orders are called for in the present writ petition. He submits that the matter has been completely settled between the parties outside the Court. He submits that only Rs.11,000/- stands to be paid and the respondents therefore would not forcibly recover the truck of the petitioner.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for in the present writ petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

15.03.2021
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No