

CRWP-9042-2021

Date of decision: 27.09.2021

Sohan Lal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

.... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Report of the Warrant Officer is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 18.09.2021 and the raid conducted by the Warrant Officer, the detenue i.e. wife of the petitioner was got recovered from respondent Nos.4 and 5 (i.e. brother and mother of the detenue) and was allowed to go with her husband i.e. the petitioner pursuant to the detenue making a statement that she had married the petitioner out of her own free will on 22.01.2019 and that she wanted to go along with her husband i.e. the petitioner.
4. It needs noticing here that initially CRM-M No.3597 of 2019 titled as Poonam Kumari and another vs. State of Haryana and others had been filed seeking protection on account of the petitioners therein having married each other against the wishes of their respective families. It was also observed therein that the petitioners (i.e. petitioner herein as well as the detenue) appeared to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the

copies of the documents filed and had also married each other in support of which photographs had been placed on record. In the circumstances, this Court was pleased to direct the Superintendent of Police, Jind to consider representation dated 22.01.2019 submitted by the petitioners therein (i.e. petitioner herein as well as the detainee) and to take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm was caused to them.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the detainee having been released and allowed to go along with the petitioner, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition any further and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

6. In the light of the position noted above, the instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.09.2021
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No