

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.220

CRWP No.9052 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION: 01.10.2021

GANGA (KINNER)

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Rohit Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, A.A.G, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Additional P.P., U.T. Chandigarh
for respondents No.4 to 7.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Status-report filed on behalf of respondents No.4 to 7 (U.T, Chandigarh) by way of the affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, CPS, U.T, Chandigarh as well as Annexure R-1 with vernacular version thereof and Annexure R-2, are already available on the file and the same are taken on the record.

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that as regards the relief except the protection of her life and liberty, as claimed in this petition, the petitioner would be availing the appropriate and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to her under law, for this purpose and so far

as the prayer of the petitioner for the protection of her life and liberty is concerned, she would be moving fresh representations to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) and respondent No.6-Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T, Chandigarh separately, exclusively praying therein for the said protection only and he further prays that the said respondents be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the fresh representations of the petitioner within some specific time frame.

Learned State counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 as well as learned Additional P.P, U.T, appearing for respondents No.4 to 7, have no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to respondents No.2 and 6 that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh separate representations to them, restricting her prayer therein to the protection of her life and liberty only, they will look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the protection of her life and liberty, then they will take appropriate action, strictly in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of 10 days.

October 01, 2021

mani

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether reportable:

No