

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1051-2021

Date of decision : 24.09.2021

Gurmeet Kaur @ Gurjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a criminal revision petition filed to challenge the order dated 16.07.2021 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, titled as “State Vs. Satnam Singh and others”, whereby the application under Section 227 Cr.P.C. filed by the petitioner for discharging her, has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner may kindly be permitted to withdraw the revision petition with liberty to take up all the points during the course of trial. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is a 50 year old lady and since the other family members are already facing trial, thus, it has been prayed that the personal appearance of the petitioner may kindly be

exempted.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to take up all the points during the course of trial.

Since the petitioner is a lady and her relatives are also facing trial, thus, in the interest of justice, the personal appearance of the petitioner is exempted subject to the following conditions:-

- i) *Petitioner shall be represented through her counsel;*
- ii) *shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;*
- iii) *shall not dispute her identity as accused;*
- iv) *shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in her absence but in the presence of her counsel;*
- v) *shall appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court;*
- vi) *any other condition which the learned trial Court may impose.*

24.09.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No