

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39476 of 2021

Date of decision 25.10.2021

Ashwani @ Annu

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. He has appeared before the court on 4.10.2021.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI-Bhagwat Dayal admits the factum of appearance of the petitioner before the court.

Though the complainant has not been impleaded as party, Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant. He opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail and submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary.

Considering that the petitioner was summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and he has appeared before the trial court, petitioner is granted pre-arrest bail on furnishing of surety bond to the satisfaction of the court

concerned and he will continue to appear before the court as and when called for. The Court concerned shall be at liberty to impose any further conditions necessary to ensure presence of the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

Needless to say that the State and the complainant would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law in case there is change in circumstances.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

25th October, 2021
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |