

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

239

CRM-M-39346 of 2021  
Date of Decision : 29.10.2021

Hira Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

*(Through Video Conferencing)*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K. S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing the present petition for the grant of regular bail to him but, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is also aggrieved that the complainant has not been examined so far despite an expiry of more than three years, which is causing prejudice to him, he prays that a direction be issued to the trial Court to examine the complainant as next witness as expeditiously as possible.

Learned State counsel raises no objection for examination of the complainant as next witness before the trial Court.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is not pressing the present petition for the grant of regular bail to him, trial Court is directed to examine the complainant as next witness as expeditiously as possible

preferably within a period of next three months.

The present petition stands dismissed as not pressed in above terms.

October 29, 2021  
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned :* Yes/No  
*Whether reportable :* Yes/No