

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRM-M-39248 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22.09.2021

Raja Ram

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CRM-31036 of 2021

As prayed for, application is allowed.

CRM-M-39248 of 2021

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that non-disclosure of certain information by the petitioner for renewal of Arms licence cannot be made a ground for registering the present FIR against the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the police has already concluded the investigation and challan has also been presented, which is now pending consideration before the Magistrate concerned.

On being asked, whether the objections to the challan submitted by the Investigating Agency, which are being raised in the present petition, can be raised by the petitioner before the Magistrate by filing objection petition for the consideration or not, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to raise all the objections as raised by the petitioner in the present

petition by filing objection petition raising all the objections raised in the present petition for the consideration of the Magistrate concerned where the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has been submitted by the Investigating Agency in connection with the present FIR.

Ordered accordingly.

September 22, 2021

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No