

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34019-2020
Date of Decision: 05.04.2021**

Mohit Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Ld. State Counsel with reference to the previous order dated 05.02.2021 submits that details of the transactions have not been supplied on behalf of the petitioner.

2. This submission is controverted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner who submits that the petitioner has already handed over the Bank Account Details of himself and his wife to show what amounts were withdrawn therefrom and repaid to co-accused Rishikesh, from whom he had initially received the loan of ₹1,60,000/- (Rupees One Lac and Sixty Thousand Only).

3. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said co-accused Rishikesh is already on regular bail, while the petitioner is neither named in the FIR nor has any acquaintance with the complainant. He is

nevertheless willing to deposit security to the tune of the exact amount initially deposited in his account by the co-accused Rishikesh.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier on 27.10.2020 is now confirmed, subject to his furnishing appropriate surety for an amount of ₹1,60,000/- (Rupees One Lac and Sixty Thousand Only), which may be encashable, if so needed by the complainant at any stage, and the mode of such security shall be as required by the Investigating Officer.

5. Disposed off.

05.04.2021*Apurva***(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No