

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

119

CRWP-8991-2021 (O & M)

Date of Decision: September 20, 2021

SONIA KUMARI AND ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Sanjay K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from the private respondents.

Petitioner No.1 is stated to be 24 year of age while petitioner No.2 is 29 year of age and have been harassed by respondents No.4 to 6. They are stated to be in live-in relationship.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation sent to respondent No. 2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Panipat, District Panipat, dated 15.09.2021 (Annexure P-3).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Panipat, District Panipat to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this order will not validate the relationship of the petitioners and would not protect them from initiation of any criminal proceedings if otherwise justifiable under the law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 20, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No