

**123 (6) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP-15827-2018 (O&M)

Mukesh Mangi and another
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..Respondents

2. CWP-18254-2018 (O&M)

Surinder Singh and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..Respondents

3. CWP-16491-2021 (O&M)

Jodh Singh
....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..Respondents

4. CWP-7407-2021 (O&M)

Surinder Kumar and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..Respondents

5. CWP-7941-2021 (O&M)

Ramanjeet Singh and others
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..Respondents

6. CWP-9883-2021 (O&M)

Madan Lal and another
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

Date of decision: 30.09.2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Harsh Bunger and
Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocates for the petitioners
in all writ petitions

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Deven Munjal, Advocate for respondent no.4
in CWP-7407-2021

Mr. Puneet Kansal, Advocate for respondent no.4
in CWP-9883-2021

Mr. S.P.Garg, Advocate for respondent no.5
in CWP-15827-2018 and CWP-18254-2018

Mr. S.P.S.Tinna, Advocate for respondent no.6
in CWP-15827, 18254 of 2018 & CWP-7407 and 9883 of
2021

Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate for respondent no.7
in CWP-15827-2018 and CWP-18254-2018

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

By this order, 6 Civil Writ Petitions i.e CWP-15827-2018,
18254-2018, 16491-2021, 9883-2021, 7941-2021 and 7407-2021 shall
stand disposed of.

In all these writ petitions, the petitioners claim that the
property in their possession belongs to the Government and the private
respondent has no right, title or interest in the property. The petitioners
have taken the property on rent from the private respondent. In the
written statement, the State has taken a stand that the property belongs to
it.

A committee was constituted to look into the matter. Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab, has informed the Court that the Committee has submitted its report, however, it does not throw any light on the title of the property.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, disputed questions of fact are involved in these cases, which in the considered view of this Bench, cannot be appropriately decided in a writ petition. Learned counsel representing the petitioners contends that the State Government is turning a blind eye to the situation.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, the writ petitions are disposed of by relegating the petitioners to the alternative remedy. However, the learned Chief Secretary, State of Punjab, is requested to examine the matter and take the required steps in accordance with law.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

30.09.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE