

In virtual Court

CRWP-8932-2021

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8932-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.09.2021**

Hava Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

**Present: Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.**

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 Hava Singh was earlier married to respondent No.7 Smt. Santresh, resident of Village Ramba, Tehsil and District Karnal. It is further submitted that both the petitioners are major and as stated above, petitioner No.1 is legally

wedded with respondent No.7. It is also submitted that now both the petitioners have decided to live in live-in relationship and petitioner No.2 is stated to be pregnant.

Nothing is stated in the petition, whether petitioner No.1 has obtained divorce from respondent No.7.

On a Court query, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is doing the job of repair of AC with a company Voltas. It is further submitted that petitioner No.1 owns 01 acre of land and his three elder sisters are already married and one brother is residing with his father.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the petitioners have given a legal notice to the Superintendent of Police, Karnal and SHO, Police Station Sadar Karnal that marriage of petitioner No.1 with respondent No.7 was never consummated, therefore, both the petitioners are residing together and they should be granted protection.

It is worth noticing that the petitioners are claiming protection against respondent No.4 Dharam Pal, father of petitioner No.1 Hava Singh, respondent No.5 Gurmeh Singh, father of petitioner No.2 Savita Devi and respondent No.7 Smt. Santresh, legally wedded wife of petitioner No.1 as well as respondents No.6 & 8, brother and brother-in-law (jija) of petitioner No.2 respectively. On the face of it, the petitioners are having live-in relationship, living an adulterous life.

In virtual Court

CRWP-8932-2021

-3-

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

17.09.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No