

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39022-2021

Date of Decision:24.09.2021

Ninna @ Nijam @ Nijamuddin

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Afzal Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

2. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 200, dated 10.06.2020, under Sections 3/13(1), 8/13 (3) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 (for short 'the Act') and Section 188 IPC (Section 17 of the Act and Section 3/181 of the Motor Vechicles Act,1988 were added later on), registered at Police Station Punhana, District Nuh, Haryana.

3. The police party received a secret information on 10th June, 2020 that Ninna @ Nijam @ Nijamuddin-petitioner and nine other accused named in the FIR were indulging in cow slaughtering and were about to transport cow skins in a Tata 407 canter parked at the house of Aasif. The police finding the information to be reliable acted upon and raid was conducted. On seeing the police party the accused absconded and were identified by the secret informer. 414 cow skins were found from the canter and 620 cow skins were found in the house of the Ninna @ Nijam @

Nijamuddin-petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 3rd January, 2021. It is a case of false implication.

5. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail. She submits that petitioner is involved in ten other FIRs and number of them are under the Act. There is heavy recovery from his house.

6. There was a recovery of 620 cow skins from the house of the petitioner. The petitioner was specifically named and identified by the secret informer. At the time of raid they were found loading cow skin in the vehicle. The involvement of the petitioner in a number of cases is an indicator of his antecedents.

7. No ground is made for grant of regular bail.

8. Dismissed.

9. It is clarified that nothing stated hereinabove shall be considered as an express of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

24.09.2021
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes