

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39200-2021
Date of decision: 14.12.2021

Maan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Kamal Kant, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.110 dated 12.03.2020, registered at Police Station Indri, District Karnal, under Sections 323, 354, 376(2) (n) IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is father-in-law of the prosecutrix, has falsely been implicated in the present case on account of some property dispute, and that the petitioner has been in custody since 02.04.2020.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner for the grant of bail, submits that case is at the initial stage and the prosecutrix is yet to be examined.

Faced with the situation that the prosecutrix is yet to be examined, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one, once the statement of the prosecutrix is recorded before the trial Court.

The aforesaid prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted and the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

14.12.2021

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No