

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRWP-8972-2020

Decided on : 21.09.2021

Jasbir Kumar @ Jasvir Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a Criminal Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondent No. 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of private respondents with further direction to respondent No. 3 to not harass and humiliate the petitioner and his family members by calling them to the police station again and again.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to paragraph 4 of the reply, filed by learned State counsel. The said paragraph is reproduced hereinbelow:

“4. That it is humbly submitted that the respondent No. 4 Amandeep Kaur wife of the petitioner submitted an application bearing No. 1532-SSP, dated 04-09-2020 to Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur against the petitioner and

other and the said application was marked for inquiry to Manjit Kaur the then SP PBI Hoshiarpur. Manjit Kaur SP PBI was transferred from Hoshiarpur during the inquiry of the said application and as such, the above said application was marked for inquiry to Superintendent of Police Head Quarter, Hoshiarpur. The Superintendent of Police, (Head Quarter) Hoshiarpur conducted inquiry into the aforesaid application and the allegations levelled in the aforesaid application and the allegations levelled in the aforesaid application were found to be false and the Superintendent of Police Head Quarter, Hoshiarpur recommended to file the application of the respondent No. 4 and the SSP Hoshiarpur seen and filed the aforesaid application of respondent No. 4. The petitioner has filed the present petition with a view to harass and humiliate the answering respondent without waiting the conclusion of the inquiry of the application of the respondent No. 4.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the aforesaid paragraph, the present petition be disposed of as not pressed.

Keeping in view the said statement and also in view of the abovesaid paragraph, the present petition is disposed of, as not pressed.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

21.09.2021

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No