

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-M-39000 of 2021
Date of Decision : 21.09.2021

Balwinder Kaur

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, there is a matrimonial dispute between the son of the petitioner and respondent No.7, who are husband and wife. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that son of the petitioner is residing in America, whereas, respondent No.7 is residing with her parents keeping in view the decision given by the panchayat concerned, wherein, it has also been decided that all the dowry articles belonging to respondent No.7 will be taken back by her. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even though respondent No.7 has taken all her belongings but still in order to harass the petitioner, complaints are being filed by respondent No.7 and the petitioner is being summoned by the police time and again without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised her grievance before the respondent

No.3/Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala by way of filing a representation dated 31.08.2021 (Annexure P/3), which is still pending consideration with respondent No.3 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that the representation dated 31.08.2021 (Annexure P/3) submitted to the respondent No.3/Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala will be decided within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in representation dated 31.08.2021 (Annexure P/3), respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation dated 31.08.2021 (Annexure P/3) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

September 21, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No