

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8895-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.10.2021**

Umansi Panjeta and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has received specific instructions from the petitioners that now there is no threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the concerned Assistant Superintendent of Police, also submits that the petitioners have no threat to their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been infructuous.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

12.10.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No