

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-38729-2021

Date of decision : 23.11.2021.

Amit and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 162 dated 08.06.2020, under Sections 323, 328, 377, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, besides Section 4 of the Prevention of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were found innocent in the investigation, but they have been summoned on an application under Section 319 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, without sufficient material, which is contrary to the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Hardeep Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others, 2014 (1) RCR (Criminal) 623**. The petitioners are in their early 20s and do not have criminal antecedents.

This Court, by the order dated 20.09.2021 had directed the petitioners to appear before the trial Court and on their doing so, they were to be released on ad-interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty

Magistrate.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in terms of order dated 20.09.2021, the petitioners had appeared before the trial Court on 24.09.2021 and have been granted interim bail. He has furnished a copy of the order dated 24.09.2021 passed by the trial Court which is taken on record.

In view of the above and the petitioners having appeared before the trial Court, the order dated 20.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 23, 2021

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No