

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-8897 of 2021
Date of Decision : 17.09.2021

Diksha and another**..Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others**...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents Nos.4 and 5 i.e. parents of the petitioner No.1, who are objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners. As per the averments made in the petition, it is most likely that the private respondents might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 and 5.

It has been mentioned in the petition that both the petitioners are major by age and have solemnized marriage according to the Christian Rites on 14.09.2021 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the parents of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondent Nos.4 and 5.

The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 14.09.2021 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur seeking protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the validity of marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 14.09.2021 (Annexure P-5), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents Nos.4 and 5 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the petitioners and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

September 17, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No