

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

115

CRM-M-33773 of 2020

Date of decision:15.2.2021

Inderpreet Singh and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.A.S.Gill, Advocate,  
for the petitioners  
Mr.Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab  
Mr.Farid Singh Virk, Advocate,  
for respondent no.2.  
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR no.91 dated 13.4.2020, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 324/323/34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

On 3.2.2021, the following order had been recorded:-

*“Pursuant to the order dated 27.10.2020, a report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, dated 04.12.2020, is on record, stating therein that the accused (petitioners herein), as also the complainant, Pavitar Singh (respondent no.2) had appeared before that court and had*

*recorded their statements in respect of the compromise stated to have been reached between the parties, with the said compromise stated to have been reached wholly voluntarily as per the assessment of that court.*

*However, since even counsel for the complainant has not appeared today to reaffirm the commitment of the complainant in terms of the compromise reached, adjourned to 15.02.2021.*

*To be shown in the urgent motion list.”*

Today, Mr.Virk appears for respondent no.2 and reaffirms that the matter has indeed been settled between the parties.

That being so and the learned JMIC, Jalandhar, having specifically recorded in his report that respondent no.2, i.e. Pavitar Singh (complainant) had also admitted to the compromise between the parties, with the learned counsel appearing for him submitting that he has no objection if the FIR is quashed, hence, looking at the nature of offences and the fact the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, the petition is allowed and FIR no.91 dated 13.4.2020, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 324/323/34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed.

15.2.2021  
pk

**( AMOL RATTAN SINGH )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No