

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9129-2021

Date of decision-22.09.2021

Gori

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Through this criminal writ petition, the petitioner is praying for a writ of mandamus by way of directions to the respondents to protect her life and liberty from the persons mentioned in representation dated 02.06.2021 (Annexure P-2). Further, it has been prayed that direction be issued for taking appropriate action upon the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the persons mentioned in the representation against whom the allegations are levelled, are engaged in illegal activity of recovering money from people and petitioner's son also used to work with them. He submits that since the son of the petitioner has given up their company, therefore, they came to the house of the petitioner and created ruckus at her house and caused some damage to the house and the two wheeler.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that son of the petitioner was previously involved in number of cases, but according to him, presently no case is pending against him.

After hearing learned counsel and considering the above background, this Court finds that prayer made in the petition is not supported with any convincing material, and further the alleged occurrence took place on 11.05.2021, whereas the representation was given by the petitioner to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 02.06.2021. According to the prayer made in the representation, complaint was given for taking action against the private respondents and the same is pending consideration before the concerned SHO.

Apart from it, a perusal of representation *prima facie* does not reveal commission of any cognizable offence and as the petitioner can avail the alternative remedy, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

22.09.2021

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No