

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M-39275 of 2021
Date of Decision : 21.09.2021

Islam Khan and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the son of the petitioner No.1, who is also husband of petitioner No.2, is wanted in several criminal cases registered against him in the State of Haryana as well as in other States. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the antecedents of Mustak Khan, the petitioners were called time and again by the police to know his whereabouts, whereas, the petitioners do not know the whereabouts of the said Mustak Khan and rather the petitioner No.1 has already disowned him.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the harassment being faced by the petitioners, they have already submitted a representation dated 09.09.2021 (Annexure P/6) to the respondent No.3/Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat), which is still

pending consideration with the respondent No.3 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that the representation dated 09.09.2021 (Annexure P/6) submitted to the respondent No.3/Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat) will be decided within a period of eight weeks from today and in case, after the decision, it is found that any action needs to be taken, the same will also be taken without any further delay.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in representation dated 09.09.2021 (Annexure P/6), respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation dated 09.09.2021 (Annexure P/6) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

September 21, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No