

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.39175 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 10.12.2021

Lakhwinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Maninder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. P.P.S. Doabia, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No. 101 dated 22.08.2021, registered under Sections 420, 120-B of the
IPC at Police Station City Balachaur, District S.B.S. Nagar.

The operative part of the order dated 21.09.2021, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is
reproduced as under:-

*“....Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of
complainant Sukhwinder Singh, it is stated that his son
Arshpreet Singh wanted to go abroad and in that process,
they came to know that parents of Kirandeep Kaur, namely
present petitioner Lakhwinder Kaur and her husband
Sucha Singh, have gone abroad but they were not having
enough money to send her daughter Kirandeep Kaur*

abroad and, therefore, Kirandeep Kaur is interested in taking her husband abroad. In this process, Rs. 35,00,000/- was given by the complainant, out of which, Rs. 19,60,000/- was transferred in the account of Kirandeep Kaur.

Learned counsel further submits that despite the best efforts made by Kirandeep Kaur to get Visa for Arshpreet Singh, son of the complainant, the same was not granted by the authorities concerned and, therefore, no fault can be found on the part of Kirandeep Kaur.

Learned counsel further submits that petitioner and her husband Sucha Singh are ready to settle the dispute with the complainant and he has instructions to say that petitioner will hand over a sum of Rs. 5,00,000/- to complainant Sukhwinder Singh within a period of one month from today and will explore the possibility of an amicable settlement before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Notice of motion....”

Thereafter, the matter was amicably settled between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and as per the terms and conditions of the settlement, Rs.5.00 lacs has already been paid and the remaining balance amount will be paid as per Clause 4(d), 4(e) and 4(f) of the settlement arrived at between the parties before the Mediator.

Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 21.09.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 21.09.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it will be open for the complainant to revive this petition if the petitioner fails to comply with or abide by the terms and conditions, which have been settled before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

Disposed of.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.12.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No