

105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38725-2021

Date of Decision: 15.12.2021

Niranjan Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Sibal

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, Advocate for the complainant

Deepak Sibal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.157 dated 17.08.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 34, 506 IPC at Police Station Sadar Ambala, District Ambala.

On 23.09.2021, this Court had passed the following order : -

"The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Status report filed on behalf the State is ordered to be taken on record.

For arguments, adjourned to 15.12.2021.

Seeking ad interim anticipatory bail, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to a land dispute between the complainant and the petitioner; the injury attributed to the petitioner on the complainant is not supported by medical evidence; so far as the injuries on Satwinder Singh are concerned there is no specific attribution to the petitioner with regard to the injuries caused by him and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No. 157 dated 17.08.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 & 506 IPC (Sections 325 & 326 IPC added subsequently) at Police Station Sadar Ambala, district Ambala, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the interim orders passed by this Court, the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency; no further recovery is to be made from the petitioner and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 23.09.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

15.12.2021
gk

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No