

COCP No.2252 of 2020

Date of Decision : 10.02.2021

Ramesh Chand Saini

...Petitioner

Versus

Pankaj Yadav, IAS and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. P.L. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of non compliance of order dated 29.07.2020 in CWP No.10809 of 2020 to decide representation dated 26.02.2019, in accordance with law within 01 month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order but now order dated 18.01.2021, passed by the respondents has been placed on record, deciding the representation dated 26.02.2019, in accordance with order dated 29.07.2020 in CWP No.10809 of 2020 and ACP has been granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the benefits as are admissible to the petitioner in terms of order dated 18.01.2021 would be paid to him expeditiously.

further orders are called for and the contempt petition is ***disposed of*** as not calling for any further orders, except for directing the respondents to ensure release of the benefits to the petitioner as per his entitlement in terms of order dated 18.01.2021 expeditiously, preferably within 06 weeks from today.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

February 10, 2021
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>