

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8858-2021

Date of Decision: 09.12.2021

Saida and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Dharam Pal, Advocate, for
Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Both the petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty by contending that both are living together in a live-in-relationship against the wishes of their respective family members respondent Nos.4 to 9, and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation (Annexure P-3) in this regard to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Panipat on 11.09.2021, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members-respondents.

2. Undisputedly, petitioner No.1 has crossed the age of majority as seen from the copy of her Aadhaar Card (Annexures P-1) and petitioner No.2 is admittedly just below the age of 21 years (D.O.B.-01.01.2001) according to his Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-2). Therefore, they certainly appear to have attained the age of discretion. In identical circumstances, a

ground that since the relief is restricted only to protection of life and liberty, this Court, in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., is not required to go into the validity of the marriage between the concerned parties (“Neelam Rani and another Vs. State of Haryana and others” 2011(1) R.C.R. (Civil) 636).

3. For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.

4. Thus the Senior Superintendent of Police, Panipat is directed to consider the representation dated 11.09.2021(Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

5. It is, however, observed that the petitioners would not be entitled to any protection against their arrest of continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in punishable cognizable offence.

6. The petition is disposed off with the above direction.

09.12.2021

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

2. Whether reportable :Yes/No