

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

**CRM-M-38466-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 06.12.2021**

SEEMA KASHYAP

...Petitioner

Versus

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashu Mohan Punchhi, PP with
Mr. Anupam Bansal, Advocate
for U. T. Chandigarh.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seek regular bail in case bearing FIR No.0182 dated 30.10.2020 under Section 306, 120B and 201 IPC registered at Police Station Central Sector-17, Chandigarh.

Copy of custody certificate by way of affidavit dated 04.12.2021 of Additional Superintendent, Model Jail, Chandigarh filed by learned State counsel, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations levelled in the FIR against the petitioner who is daughter-in-law of the deceased Des Raj, are totally vague and false; that co-accused, namely Arnav Kashyap, has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court on 03.09.2021; that the complainant, namely, Prem Lata, is the daughter of the deceased and sister-in-law of the petitioner and that there are variations in the statement of the complainant recorded before the trial court during her testimony.

Per contra, while opposing the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, learned State counsel submits that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and when the body of the deceased Des Rajwas recovered there were certain marks found on his neck and moreover, a suicide note was also recovered from the pocket of the deceased by the doctors which raise fingers against the petitioner-Seema Kashyap who is the daughter-in-law of the deceased. He further submits that the complainant has already been examined.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 30.10.2020 and co-accused Arnav Kashyap has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.09.2021. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

06.12.2021

Riya

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No