

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226

CRWP-8472 of 2020
Date of Decision: 10.03.2021

Anchal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

On 16.10.2020, the following order had been passed:-

“Inter-alia contends that petitioners are residing in live-in relationship but apprehending threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondent nos.4 to 8.

Notice of motion for 17.12.2020.

In the meanwhile, respondent no.3 – S.H.O. Police Station Sadar Karnal, District Karnal is directed to protect the life and liberty of petitioners, as per law.

However, it is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expressed of opinion on the legality and validity of the live-in relationship of petitioners.

In case any criminal case is registered or to be registered against petitioner no.2, this order shall not be any hindrance for the Investigating Officer to proceed according to law.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the reply filed by the respondent State, to the effect that respondents no.4 to 8 have no objection to the live-in relationship between the petitioners, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

Obviously, in case of any threat perceived by the petitioners at the hands of respondents no.4 to 8 or other persons, they would immediately approach the SP, Karnal, who would ensure that the protection of life is duly given to them, that being a basic fundamental right enshrined in the Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Naturally, if such protection is not provided, the petitioners would be always at liberty to approach a competent court of law.

March 10, 2021
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No