

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 26.10.2021

CWP-18364-2021

SABARJEET SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CWP-18368-2021

RAJENDER SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CWP-18438-2021

RAJBALA DAGAR

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CWP-18476-2021

KHAJAN SINGH DAGAR

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr. Nihul Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Addl. AG Haryana.

* * *

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

These cases have been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

This order shall dispose of CWP-18364-2021 (Sabarjeet Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others), CWP-18368-2021 (Rajender Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others), CWP-18438-2021 (Rajbala Dagar Vs. State of Haryana and others) as also CWP-18476-2021 (Khajan Singh Dagar Vs. State of Haryana and others) as the issue involved in these bunch of writ petitions is identical.

Petitioners herein seek a writ of mandamus for directing the respondent-Haryana Shehari Vikas Pradhikaran to allot to them plot(s) of the appropriate measurement under the oustee quota.

On a previous date of hearing we had requested Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, learned Addl. AG Haryana to complete instructions as regards the status of the application of the petitioner(s) seeking allotment of a plot under the oustee category.

During the course of resumed hearing today, Mr. Moudgil informs the Court that a final decision on the application of the petitioner(s) has not been taken till date. The applications are still pending consideration and a final decision thereupon would be taken in accordance with law and under the relevant oustee policy within a period of three months from today and such decision would be duly conveyed to the petitioner(s).

Statement made by Mr.Moudgil is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

It is clarified that we have not examined the claim of the petitioner(s) on merits.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

26.10.2021
Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No