

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

207-3

**CRM-M-38677-2021
Date of decision: 29.11.2021**

Surinder Singh**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.77 dated 31.05.2021 under Section 306 IPC, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar.

The operative part of the order dated 17.09.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 05.08.2021 passed in CRM-M-31145-2021, vide which co-accused of the petitioner, namely Surjit Kaur @ Laddi and another have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail. The operative part of the order reads as under: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 is niece of deceased Dilbagh Singh, whereas petitioner No.2 is husband of petitioner No.1. It is further submitted that the deceased was in the habit of taking money from people and on that pretext, as many as 13 FIRs, as detailed in Annexure P-3, were filed against him for the last 15 years by different persons in different police stations and on account of registration of FIRs, the deceased himself was depressed and in fact, he has taken a loan of Rs.3.00 lacs from the petitioners, out of which, Rs.1.20 lac was deposited in the account of Rajinder Singh

(son of deceased) and remaining amount was given in cash. It is also submitted that since Rajinder Singh was not returning the money, the petitioners were not on taking terms with him on that account.

Learned counsel has referred to one incident, where a lady named Rozy has lodged a complaint against the deceased with the police authorities about a fraud of Rs.10.00 lacs committed by him. It is further submitted that in the suicide note, not only the petitioners but the aforesaid lady Rozy has been named as the persons, who were harassing him, whereas the petitioners are themselves victims and the deceased was under depression on account of the fact that the deceased was facing criminal trial in 13 FIRs. It is also submitted that since the deceased was very less educated and did not know how to write, it will be a debatable issue whether the alleged suicide note was in his own handwriting or not.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petitioner is similarly situated with co-accused.

Notice of motion.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 17.09.2021, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Daljit Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 17.09.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

29.11.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No