

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 221)

CRWP No. 8796 of 2021

Date of decision : 27.09.2021

Janista and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India by Janista and Tarif seeking therein protection to their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents for the reason that they have got married against their wishes.

On 20.09.2021, learned counsel for the petitioners had submitted that after the petitioners got married, the private respondents, at gun point, forcibly took petitioner No.1. On the basis of such assertion, this Court directed the State to produce petitioner No.1 through video conferencing with a further direction to the State to ensure that no bodily harm comes to either of the petitioners.

Today, petitioner No.1 Janista appeared before this Court and stated that she was, with her consent and free will, living with the private respondents.

In the light of the above statement of petitioner No.1, she, being a major, is free to live with the private respondents. However, the State is directed to ensure that no bodily harm comes to either of the petitioners.

Disposed of.

It is clarified that this order shall not preclude the State/private respondents to proceed against either of the petitioners for having committed any illegal act on their part as also would not be considered as a comment on the alleged relationship between them.

27.09.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No