

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17900-2020

Date of decision: - 11.01.2021

Ramesh Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 4.

None for respondent No.5.

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, petitioner has approached this Court for initiating action on the representations/complaints (Annexures P-2 to P-4), which he has submitted against respondent No.5 i.e. Sarpanch of village Khandra, Block Matlauda, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner has highlighted certain irregularities/illegality committed by the Sarpanch of village Khandra, Block Matlauda, District Panipat, but no action is being

taken by the respondents on the same.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State submits that the contention raised on behalf of the petitioner that no action is being taken by the respondents on the representations/complaints (Annexures P-2 to P-4), which have been submitted by the petitioner, is incorrect. Learned State counsel further submits that the said complaints have already been marked to the Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Matlauda, who is already conducting an enquiry on the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents-State to conclude the said enquiry in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the enquiry, which is pending before the BDPO, Matlauda, will be finalized within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

It is made clear that while conducting the said enquiry, required opportunity of hearing to the concerned Sarpanch will be afforded by the authorities and the explanation so tendered by the Sarpanch will be dealt with while passing the order on the representations/complaints (Annexures P-2 to P-4) filed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement recorded on behalf of the respondents-State, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and he does not want to press this writ petition any further and the same may be disposed of accordingly.

Ordered accordingly.

It is also made clear that the respondents-State will be bound by their statement, recorded hereinabove.

January 11, 2021
naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No