

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1998 of 2021(O&M)
Date of Decision: October 28, 2021**

Bharat Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Ganga Ram Punia and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.Virender Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The present Contempt Petition has been filed alleging disobedience of the order dated 08.04.2021 (Annexure P-1) passed in CRWP No.3431 of 2021.

Factual background of the case is that marriage between Chunni Lal son of petitioner No.1 and Pinki was solemnized on 13.11.2011 according to Hindu rites and the couple thereafter settled at Roorkee (Uttarakhand). However, the relations became strained and an FIR under Section 498A, etc. IPC was got registered at Police Station City Karnal on 22.03.2018. In the said FIR, all other family members except Chunni Lal, husband of the complainant, were exonerated by the Police during investigation. It was alleged by the petitioners that despite the above, Pinki and her family members very often would come to their house and humiliate them or cause injuries to them. Pinky made other false complaints wherein,

the petitioners were called to the Police Station Ram Nagar, where the family members/friends of Pinky snatched Rs.18,000/- from Keshav, another son of petitioner No.1. The petitioners made various applications/representations (dated 12.12.2020, 15.12.2020 and 25.03.2021) to the Police to take action, but of no avail.

It is pleaded that thereafter, the petitioners filed CRWP No.3431 of 2021 titled 'Bharat Singh and others vs. State of Haryana', which was disposed of vide order dated 08.04.2021 with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Karnal (respondent No.1), to look into and decide representation dated 25.03.2021 in accordance with law and pass a speaking order.

Ld. counsel for the petitioners has contended that respondent No.1 without considering the seriousness of allegations of the petitioners in their representation dated 25.03.2021 got an unfair enquiry conducted by the DSP Headquarter in which the Police tried to persuade the petitioners to compromise the matter and thereafter, deliberately passed the order dated 25.05.2021 (Annexure P-4).

I have heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

Vide order dated 25.05.2021 passed in compliance of the order dated 08.04.2021 in CRWP-3431-2021, the respondent No.1 has directed the SHO, Police Station Ram Nagar, Karnal to contact the respondents mentioned in the petition/representation and counsel them not to interfere in life and liberty of the petitioners. He further directed that the SHO shall contact the petitioners and provide them mobile numbers of Police Station

having jurisdiction and Control Room so that they can contact in case any police assistance required. The SHO was further directed to ensure that application/representation already received/received in future are decided in time bound manner and FIR should be immediately registered if the same disclosed commission of a cognizable offence.

In view of above, since respondent No.1 has passed a speaking order, no contempt is made out.

Petition stands disposed of.

October 28, 2021

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

gian

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No