

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38554-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.09.2021**

Hari Om

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0155 dated 03.06.2021 under Sections 306, 34 and 498A IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said FIR. It submitted that the marriage took place between the petitioner and the sister of the complainant in the year 2009 and out of this wedlock, they have two children. It is argued that false averments have been made by the complainant that Panchayats had been convened on several occasions on the ground that the deceased was harassed by the petitioner for bringing less dowry. It is submitted that in fact the complainant herein had taken money which was

being returned and, therefore, that would itself establish that there was no demand of dowry at the behest of the petitioner herein. It is argued that two minor children have to be looked after who are now residing with their grandparents and their education had been suffering. Apart from that it is submitted that the investigation is complete and there is no apprehension of the petitioner influencing the complainant who is the brother of the deceased.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State would oppose grant of bail to the petitioner by contending that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature but is not in a position to dispute the fact that the investigation stands completed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the case file.

Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been investigated and the trial is likely to take time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars any longer. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his execution of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

21.09.2021

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes
Yes

No
No

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE