

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-514-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 23, 2021**

S.D.O., Operation, UHBVNL and others

...Appellants

Versus

Ramnik Khanagwal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Surinder K. Mahajan, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate,
for the caveator-respondent.

(Presence marked through video conference)

SUDHIR MITTAL, J.

The respondent filed a suit for declaration that Bill No. 1241, dated 08.11.2008, for the period from 26.08.2008 to 15.10.2008, for a sum of Rs. 1,13,983/- was illegal and for consequential relief of injunction restraining the present appellants from disconnecting the electricity supply. The suit has been decreed by the Trial Court and the appeal has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that the findings of the courts below are erroneous because Ex. D-1 (demand raised for the period in dispute) is Annexure A-2 on record. The said demand shows that the courts below have misread the evidence on record.

The submission is meaning less as admittedly the meter of the plaintiff/respondent was changed in July, 2008. Accordingly, the meter reading for August, 2008 should have started from 5 units, as has been

mentioned in the order of the courts below. Instead the old reading for the month of August, 2008 reads as '37890'. Thus, the document Annexure A-2 is not document Ex. D-1. Even if it is Ex. D-1 on record, the argument that the same has been misread by the courts below is misconceived.

No further point has been raised or argued.

Thus, the appeal is without any merit and the same is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

August 23, 2021

Pkapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: ✓YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO ✓