

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8764-2021

Date of decision: 15.09.2021

Rajinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anmol S. Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.
*(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.)*

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Vide this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner prays for a direction to respondents No.1 to 5 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner and take measures to ensure that he is not subjected to any undue harassment and humiliation at the behest of respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a civil suit between the petitioner and Satinder Singh (respondent No.6) is pending before the learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ludhiana. And, it is at his behest he is being called time and again either to the office of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Fazilka (respondent No.4) or the Station House Officer, Police Station City Zira (respondent No.5).

In reference to the letter dated 22.05.2021 (Annexure P-3), addressed to the Station House Officer, Police Station City, Jalalabad, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Jalalabad required the SHO to inform the petitioner to appear before him on 26.05.2021 in connection with FIR No.79, dated 16.07.2020, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471,

120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Zira, District Ferozepur, it is submitted that petitioner is neither involved in the said FIR nor in any other case. But still apprehending a foul play or he might be implicated in some false case/FIR, he moved an anticipatory bail application in Sessions Court at Fazilka. However, as per the report submitted by the SHO that no FIR or complaint was registered against the petitioner, the learned Sessions Judge, Fazilka vide order dated 07.06.2021 disposed of the bail application as infructuous. Thus, being completely hapless the petitioner even moved the Director General of Police, Punjab vide a detailed representation dated 10.07.2021 but that too has failed to evoke any response.

Notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. Anmol S. Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf said respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the police authorities to deal with the concerns/grievances being made by the petitioner in his representation which is alleged to be pending, and if necessary the authorities shall take appropriate measures in this regard.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statement made by the learned State counsel. This Court is sanguine that if any such representation, as indicated above, is pending consideration, the authorities shall deal therewith expeditiously, after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and all other stakeholders if required, and take necessary measures strictly in accordance with law.

15.09.2021
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO