

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-38027-2021

Date of decision : 15.09.2021.

Sham Murari

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.14 dated 29.01.2021, under Sections 307, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Bhargo Camp, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Vijay Kumar, states that the petitioner has been duly named in the FIR and he is also known as Ghuddu and the father's name has been spelt out in the FIR. He further contends that the petitioner and others had attacked the complainant and injured-Happy who had lodged an FIR under Section 354 IPC with regard to harassment of his sister. He also contends that the petitioner is also involved in four other cases and injury No.9 in the MLR which has been attributed to the petitioner is a *datar* blow on the left scapular of the injured.

In view of the above especially when the petitioner and others are alleged to have attacked the injured with a *datar* and the factum of other cases against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No