

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.8660 of 2021
Date of Decision :10.09.2021

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr.Parveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Vide this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
the petitioner prays for a direction to the official respondents to protect his
life and personal liberty for, he faces a serious threat of being harmed at the
hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact Narender
Malik (respondent No.4) has illicit relations with the wife of the petitioner
namely Manju @ Meenu (respondent No.5) and even earlier on 27.08.2021,
respondent No.4, in connivance with another person, had physically
assaulted the petitioner and caused multiple injuries. In reference to the
MLR dated 28.08.2021 (Annexure P-3), he submits that in the given
circumstances, he has a genuine threat perception. It is urged that petitioner
had even moved the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal as also the Station

House Officer, Police Station Anaj Mandi, District Kaithal, Haryana, in this regard, vide representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-4), but to no avail.

Notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. S.S.Pannu, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents and submits for, the representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioner is statedly under consideration, it would rather be expedient to dispose of the petition to enable the authorities to examine and deal with the grievances/concerns raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

In the wake of the above, the petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel. This Court is sanguine that if any such representation, as indicated above, is pending consideration, the same shall be considered and dealt with expeditiously by the respondents-authorities,

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on merits of the case for, the authorities, if necessary, shall take appropriate measures strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

10.09.2021
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No