

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37893-2021

Date of decision : 13.09.2021

Disha

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. is for directing the official respondents to consider representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) vide which protection of life and liberty of the petitioner has been sought.

A perusal of the representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) would show that the petitioner who is stated to be a girl of 21 years of age and is B.A. Graduate, is apprehending danger to her life from her uncle-respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would be satisfied that in case respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, is directed to look into the representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) with respect to protection of her life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, to look into the representation dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and after making necessary enquiries, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation with a limited prayer aforesaid.

In view of what has been observed above, the present petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

13.09.2021*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No****Yes/No**