

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 37752 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.09.2021**

Manjeet and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 87 dated 16.03.2021, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 379-B, 395, 452, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and have been falsely implicated. No injury is attributed to the petitioners. He also contends that co-accused Karambir has been granted regular bail while co-accused Krishan has been granted anticipatory bail by the trial Court.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Jagdish Chand, contends that the petitioners had accompanied the main accused Karambir and had gone to the office of the complainant where he was beaten up and money and other valuables were taken away. The complainant had

suffered serious injuries including a fracture. The CCTV footage indicates that the petitioners along with other accused were entering the office of the complainant. He also contends that co-accused Krishan had only threatened the complainant and was not allegedly present at the place of occurrence.

Heard.

The allegations in the FIR are that the petitioners had accompanied the main accused Karambir and had gone to the office of the complainant where he was beaten up and money and other valuables were taken away. In view of the aforementioned serious allegations, I do not deem it to be a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

However, it is clarified that nothing which has been observed hereinabove shall be deemed to have any bearing on the merits of the case.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.09.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No