

AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-8650-2021

Date of Decision:10.09.2021

Marjeena and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr.R.K.Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 10, upon them having married each other (as contended) against the wishes of the said respondents, on 06.09.2021.

On a specific query put to learned counsel for the petitioners, it has been stated that neither are the petitioners in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier. He states that he has obtained specific instructions from the petitioners in that regard.

Consequently, since protection of life and liberty is a fundamental right of every citizen under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, without making any comment whatsoever on the validity of the marriage, or otherwise, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no. 1 to 3, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not put to any harm or threat at the hands of the aforesaid respondents, or at their behest.

By way of proof of age, the petitioners have annexed copies of their Middle School Examination and Secondary School Examination certificates, a perusal of which would show that they seem to be above the legally marriageable age for males and females respectively. However, upon verification, if any of the petitioners is found to be below marriageable age in terms of the provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on any proceedings initiated under that Act, offences committed under that Act being cognizable in terms of Section 15 thereof.

Further, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings initiated as per law.

10.09.2021*neenu***(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No