

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38077-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.09.2021

Devender Paul

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

This is a second petition for grant of pre-arrest bail filed by the petitioner. First petition having been dismissed by this Court, vide detailed order dated 18.02.2020.

The second petition is not maintainable in view of the judgment by the Apex Court in judgment **G.R. Ananda Babu Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr.** arising out of SLP (Crl.) No.213-2021, wherein it was observed that “As a matter of fact, successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained and more so, when the case diary and the status report, clearly indicated that the accused (respondent No. 2) is absconding and not cooperating with the investigation. The specious reason of change in circumstances cannot be invoked for successive anticipatory bail applications, once it is rejected by a speaking

order and that too by the same Judge.”

Faced with such situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition. Allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

14.09.2021

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No