

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.37590 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.09.2021

Daljit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mitul Singh Rana, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.132 dated 25.06.2021 registered under Sections 406, 120-B IPC at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of Jaswinder Singh, it is stated that he got married to the co-accused Mandeep Kaur on 29.12.2007 and out of this wedlock, 02 children i.e. a daughter aged 14 years and a boy aged 4 years were born. It is further stated that the wife of the complainant wanted to go abroad on study VISA and in that context, the complainant has opened a joint account with his wife Mandeep Kaur and deposited Rs.41,23,943/- by different means by arranging the money from his relations as stated in the FIR. It is also stated in the FIR that his wife Mandeep Kaur went missing with an

unknown person and he has given a missing complaint with the police.

Later on, when the complainant checked his statement of PNB account, he came to know that Rs.5,00,000/- each were withdrawn on two different dates and an amount of Rs.21,77,000/- was transferred in the new account opened by Mandeep Kaur at Rama Mandi, Joginder Nagar, Jalandhar.

Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the only allegation against the petitioner is that his mobile No.9501219683, was given for opening the account and Mandeep Kaur was residing in the house of the petitioner as a tenant.

In reply, counsel for the State, assisted by counsel for the complainant and on instructions from ASI Sikander Singh, has, however, opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the main accused Mandeep Kaur was arrested and during her interrogation, she made a disclosure statement stating that the account was opened by using the mobile of the petitioner as she was residing in her house. It is also stated in the disclosure statement that she has committed the offence in conspiracy with the petitioner – Daljit Singh.

Counsel for the complainant has, additionally, argued that the petitioner is having her matrimonial home in Patiala and she was married at Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur and has opened the new bank account in Jalandhar, which is entirely a new place as she has left the matrimonial home and for the last 7-8 months, she is residing separately. It is also submitted that Mandeep Kaur was arrested and was granted the concession of regular bail in which she has made disclosures about the active connivance of the petitioner. Lastly, it is

argued that the petitioner wanted to keep a watch on the money transactions of Mandeep Kaur and that is why, he has given his mobile number while opening the bank account so that he may get all the messages from the bank regarding the transactions.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

16.09.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No