

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32435-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.01.2021

Ajmer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Aayush Gupta, and Mr. Akshay Jindal,
Advocates for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.49 dated 12.02.2020, registered at Police Station Division No.2, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, under Sections 323, 506, 427, 120-B and 34 IPC and Sections 325 and 307 IPC(added later on).

On 13.10.2020, this Court passed the following order :-

“Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.49 dated 12.02.2020, registered at Police Station Division No.2, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, under Sections 323, 506, 427, 120-B and 34 IPC and Sections 325 and 307 IPC(added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that neither there is any specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR nor any specific role has been attributed to him. He further contends that the main grudge of the complainant was with co-accused, Som Nath as he had threatened the

complainant. He further contends that co-accused, Som Nath, has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 29.09.2020 passed in CRM-M-28953-2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, and submits that it was on the instigation of some unknown person, the complainant had been attacked while sitting in his car. He also submits that the dispute is with regard to the election of scooter market association.

Adjourned to 08.01.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and if he is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 13.10.2020 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Inder Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for any further investigation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 13.10.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

08.01.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No