

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-29070-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 15.09.2021

BIKRAM KUMAR @ VICKY

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Anupinder Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.55 dated 15.06.2019, under Sections 324, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur.

A coordinate Bench of this court, on 11.07.2019, had passed the following order:-

“It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that name of the petitioner has been wrongly involved in the case. Although, the name of the petitioner is mentioned in the FIR as being armed with datar, however, there is no role attributed to the petitioner in the said incident.

Notice of motion for 05.11.2019.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds/surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Surjan Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 11.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.09.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No