

205.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29197-2019

Date of Decision: 10.09.2021

SANDEEP KUMAR

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. S.K. Agnihotri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.73, dated 13.06.2019, registered under Sections 323, 354, 376/511 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Women Police Station Panchkula.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that in terms of order dated 12.07.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined investigation.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant would submit that the petitioner herein has been nominated as an accused for offence under Section 376 IPC and, therefore, his bail ought not to be confirmed.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, on instructions from LHC Naresh Kumari, would submit that the petitioner has joined investigation and pursuant to that, cancellation report has been prepared, which is pending approval.

In view of the fact that the petitioner has already joined investigation, the instant petition is allowed and the order dated 12.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

In case the cancellation report is accepted, the complainant is at liberty to challenge the same.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

10.09.2021

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No