

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-18081-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.09.2021

Randeep Kumar Suri & Anr.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. KS Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG Punjab for respondents No.1 to 4
Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr.Advocate with
Mr. Vibhav Jain, Advocate for respondents No.5&6

Through VC

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners, *inter alia*, seek quashing of the orders dated 06.07.2021 (P9 & P10) passed by respondent No.2 removing the petitioners from the post of Director of respondent No.5-Corporation. A direction is prayed for to appoint the petitioners as Directors with all consequential benefits etc.

It is pleaded that the petitioners are aggrieved by the orders (P9 & P10) whereby they were removed from the post of Director, on the Board of Directors of Punjab Small Industries and Export Corporation Ltd.

This Court on 13.09.2021 had directed learned State counsel to have instructions in the matter. She, on instructions, submits that the petitioners have themselves chosen to file review petitions dated 24.07.2021 (P11) and also 26.08.2021 (P14). Learned State counsel further submits that under Rule 28(1)(25) of the Rules of Business, the petitioners can file representation with the Chief Minister. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners herein while submitting the

Chief Minister to review the impugned order(s). Counsel further prays that the petitioners may be given liberty to supplement their pleadings by way of supplementary representation(s).

Learned senior counsel on behalf of respondents No.5&6 submits that the present writ petition is not maintainable in view of the law laid down in **BM Verma vs. State of UP & Ors. 2004 SCC Online All.1464.**

Without commenting upon the merits as to whether the writ petition is maintainable or not, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to pursue the review/request (P11 & P14) submitted by the petitioners to the concerned authority. It is directed that the competent authority may examine the same and take a decision on the said review/supplementary representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

So ordered.

29.09.2021

Vvishal

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No