

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37227-2021
Date of decision: 09.09.2021**

Ekam Singh @ Gagan Singh @ Gagni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner in FIR No. 75 dated 16.06.2021, registered under Section 379-B IPC at Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur.

The first petition, bearing CRM-M-26725-2021, was dismissed as withdrawn on 19.07.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the new ground for filing the present petition is that there is a dispute of some money between the parties, however, a perusal of the petition shows that except the FIR and the order passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, dismissing the bail application of the petitioner, nothing has been attached with this petition.

As per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of

complainant Gagandeep Kumar, it is stated that he works as a contractor of milk and he purchases milk from the different dairies by collecting the same in a pick up truck and then it is supplied to milk plants. It is further stated that he had employed one Pardeep Singh as a driver of the said vehicle and on 15.06.2021, after collecting the milk from different villages, he was going to Barnala Chilling Centre and when he reached near over bridge, petitioner Ekam Singh @ Gagan Singh @ Gagni, who is also doing the dairy business and complainant had purchased some milk from him, along with other three unknow persons came there on two motorcycles and stopped the complainant's vehicle and told the driver that they will teach a lesson to complainant for not settling the due amount. They forcibly snatched the vehicle from the driver. Thereafter, the petitioner moved towards Malerkotla side along with other three persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that false allegations have been levelled against the petitioner in the FIR, whereas there is a dispute of settling the accounts.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is involved in two more FIRs, i.e. FIR No. 103 dated 27.06.2019, under Sections 307, 323, 341, 447, 511, 148, 149, 336 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act and FIR No. 176 dated 04.10.2019 under Sections 458 and 380 of the IPC, both registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur and the petitioner has criminal antecedents.

Learned State counsel further submits that with regard to allegations of false implication, the petitioner has not approached any authority as noticed above.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without making any comment on the merits of the case, considering the fact that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and he is also involved in two more FIRs, I find no ground to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

09.09.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No