

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(210)

CRM-M-37493-2021

Date of Decision : September 15, 2021

Suresh Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.478 dated 25.10.2020 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 325, 308 and 34 IPC added later on) at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have already compromised their dispute and the parties also intend to file a quashing petition on the basis of the said compromise after the petitioner is released from jail, in case this Court grants bail to the petitioner in the present petition.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Garg, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4-complainants.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that he does not have any instructions regarding any compromise between the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 4 submits that the parties have already compromised their dispute and the complainants have no objection in case, the petitioner is granted the benefit of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Once, the petitioner and the complainants have entered into a compromise to live a peaceful life and learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4 raises no objection in case, the petitioner is granted the benefit of regular bail, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars any further.

Keeping in view the above, the petitioner has made out a case for the grant of regular bail.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will not influence trial in any manner including influencing the witnesses and in case of default of the above undertaking, State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

September 15, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No