

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-37659 of 2021
Date of decision: 16.09.2021

Bhag Singh @ Rinku and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lokesh Kumar Gollen, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.478 dated 25.10.2020, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506 of IPC (Sections 325, 308 and 34 were added later on and Sections 148 and 149 IPC were deleted), at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the parties have already compromised their dispute in order to live peacefully and therefore, keeping the petitioner behind the bars any further would not serve any useful purpose. He further submits that the co-accused have already been granted bail keeping in view the execution of the compromise between the

parties.

Learned State counsel submits that he does not have any instructions about the compromise between the petitioner and the complainants.

Mr. Lokesh Kumar Gollen, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant-respondents No.2 to 4 and submits that in order to live peacefully, the parties have settled their dispute and the complainants have no objection, in case the petitioners are granted benefit of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have already compromised their dispute and the co-accused have already been granted benefit of regular bail on the basis of the compromise, the petitioners have also made out a case for regular bail on the ground of parity. Hence, petitioners are directed to be released on regular bail in this case subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

16.09.2021
D.Bansal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No