

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-37244-2021

Date of decision: 13.12.2021

Ajmer Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Varinder Chhibbar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.91 dated 25.06.2021 registered under Sections 323, 341, 308, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Ropar, District Ropar.

On September 17, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

As directed by this Court, the State has produced the MLR of the injured Ram Lal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner, who is a lady and a senior citizen, has been falsely implicated in this case due to the enmity between the petitioner's husband and the complainant; the petitioner has no criminal antecedents; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, there is no allegation against the petitioner of inflicting any injury on the complainant or his brother and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 13.12.2021.

To be heard along with CRM-M No.36506 of 2021.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of her arrest in FIR No.91, dated 25.06.2021, registered under Sections 323, 341, 308, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Ropar, District Ropar, she shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that her custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 17.09.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

December 13, 2021

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No